Household Spending on Food

This section provides information on how much households spent on food, as reported in the December 2006 food security survey. Food insecurity is a condition that arises specifically from lack of money and other resources to acquire food. In most households, the majority of food consumed by household members is purchased—either from supermarkets or grocery stores to be eaten at home, or from cafeterias, restaurants, or vending machines to be eaten outside the home. The amount of money that a household spends on food, therefore, provides insight into how adequately it is meeting its food needs. When households reduce food spending below some minimum level because of constrained resources, various aspects of food insecurity such as disrupted eating patterns and reduced food intake may result.

Methods

The household food expenditure statistics in this report are based on *usual* weekly spending for food, as reported by respondents after they were given a chance to reflect on the household's actual food spending during the previous week. Respondents were first asked to report the amounts of money their households had spent on food in the week prior to the interview (including any purchases made with food stamps) at:

- supermarkets and grocery stores;
- stores other than supermarkets and grocery stores such as meat markets, produce stands, bakeries, warehouse clubs, and convenience stores;
- restaurants, fast food places, cafeterias, and vending machines;
- any other kind of place. 16

Total spending for food, based on responses to this series of questions, was verified with the respondent, and the respondent was then asked how much the household *usually* spent on food during a week. Earlier analyses by ERS researchers found that food expenditures estimated from data collected by this method were consistent with estimates from the Consumer Expenditure Survey (CES)—the principal source of data on U.S. household expenditures for goods and services (Oliveira and Rose, 1996).

Food spending was adjusted for household size and composition in two ways. The first adjustment was calculated by dividing each household's usual weekly food spending by the number of persons in the household, yielding the "usual weekly food spending per person" for that household. The second adjustment accounts more precisely for the different food needs of households by comparing each household's usual food spending to the estimated cost of the Thrifty Food Plan for that household in December 2006. The Thrifty Food Plan—developed by USDA—serves as a national standard for a nutritious, low-cost diet. It represents a set of "market baskets" of food that people of specific ages and genders could consume at home to maintain a healthful diet that meets current dietary standards, taking into account the food consumption patterns of U.S. households. Each household's reported usual weekly food spending was divided by the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan for that household, calculated based on the age and gender of each household member and the number of persons in the household (see table C-1).

15Food spending is only an indirect indicator of food consumption. It understates food consumption in households that receive food from in-kind programs, such as the National School Lunch and School Breakfast Programs, the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC), meal programs for children in child care and for the elderly, and private charitable organizations. (Purchases with food stamps, however, are counted as food spending in the CPS food security survey.) Food spending also understates food consumption in households that acquire a substantial part of their food supply through gardening, hunting, or fishing, as well as in households that eat more meals at friends' or relatives' homes than they provide to friends or relatives. (Food spending overstates food consumption in households with the opposite characteristics.) Food spending also understates food consumption in geographical areas with relatively low food prices and overstates consumption in areas with high food prices.

¹⁶For spending in the first two categories of stores, respondents were also asked how much of the amount was for "nonfood items such as pet food, paper products, alcohol, detergents, or cleaning supplies." These amounts are not included in calculating spending for food

¹⁷The cost of the Thrifty Food Plan is revised each month to account for inflation in food prices

¹⁸The Thrifty Food Plan, in addition to its use as a research tool, is used as a basis for setting the maximum benefit amounts of the Food Stamp Program. (See appendix C for further information on the Thrifty Food Plan and estimates of the weekly cost of the Thrifty Food Plan and three other USDA food plans for each age-gender group.)

¹⁹Thrifty Food Plan costs are estimated separately for Alaska and Hawaii using adjustment factors calculated from USDA's Thrifty Food Plan costs for those States for the second half of 2006. The medians of the two food spending measures (spending per person per week and spending relative to the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan) were estimated at the national level and for households in various categories to represent the usual weekly food spending of the typical household in each category. Medians are reported rather than averages because medians are not unduly affected by the few unexpectedly high values of usual food spending that are believed to be reporting errors or data entry errors. Thus, the median better reflects what a typical household spent.

Data were weighted using food security supplement weights provided by the Census Bureau so that the interviewed households would represent all households in the United States. About 7 percent of households interviewed in the CPS food security survey did not respond to the food spending questions and were excluded from the analysis. As a result, the total number of households represented in tables 8 and 9 is somewhat smaller than that in tables 1 and 2, and food spending estimates may not be fully representative of all households in the United States.²⁰

Food Expenditures, by Selected Household Characteristics

In 2006, the typical U.S. household spent \$41.67 per person each week for food (table 8). Median household food spending relative to the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan—which adjusts more precisely for food needs of persons of different ages—was 1.28. That is, the typical household spent 28 percent more on food than the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan, taking into account the age and gender of the household members. Median spending for food relative to the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in 2006 was statistically unchanged from the 2005 level (1.26) but was higher than the 2004 level (1.25).

Households with children under age 18 generally spent less for food, relative to the Thrifty Food Plan, than those without children. The typical household with children spent 13 percent more than the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan, while the typical household with no children spent 36 percent more than the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan. Median food expenditure relative to the Thrifty Food Plan was lower for households with children headed by single women (1.03) than for married couples with children (1.17). Median food expenditures relative to the Thrifty Food Plan were highest for men living alone (1.59).

Median food expenditures relative to the Thrifty Food Plan were lower for Black households (1.08) and Hispanic households (1.11) than for non-Hispanic White households (1.34). This pattern is consistent with the lower average incomes and higher poverty rates of these racial and ethnic minorities.

As expected, higher income households spent more money on food than lower income households.²¹ The typical household with income below the poverty line spent about 5 percent less than the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan, while the typical household with income above 185 percent of the poverty line spent 41 percent more than the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan.

Median food spending relative to the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan for households outside metropolitan areas was 1.10, compared with 1.32 for households inside metropolitan areas. Regionally, median spending on food was

²⁰Households that reported food spending were more likely to be food insecure than those that did not report food spending (11.2 percent compared with 7.6 percent). Food spending may, therefore, be slightly underestimated from these data.

²¹However, food spending does not rise proportionately with income increases, so high-income households actually spend a smaller *proportion* of their income on food than do low-income households.

Table 8
Weekly household food spending per person and relative to the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP), 2006

		Median weekly f	ood spending
Category	Number of households ¹	Per person	Relative to cost of TFP
	1,000	Dollars	Ratio
All households	107,520	41.67	1.28
Household composition:			
With children < 18	37,352	33.33	1.13
At least one child < 6	16,308	30.00	1.13
Married-couple families	25,256	33.75	1.17
Female head, no spouse	9,106	30.00	1.03
Male head, no spouse	2,415	33.33	1.10
Other household with child ²	576	33.33	1.02
With no children < 18	70,168	50.00	1.36
More than one adult	41,261	46.67	1.33
Women living alone	16,030	50.00	1.36
Men living alone	12,876	60.00	1.59
With elderly	23,860	40.00	1.17
Elderly living alone	9,276	45.00	1.22
Race/ethnicity of households:			
White non-Hispanic	76,987	45.00	1.34
Black non-Hispanic	12,689	35.00	1.08
Hispanic ³	12,028	35.00	1.11
Other	5,816	40.00	1.19
Household income-to-poverty ratio:			
Under 1.00	11,195	30.00	.95
Under 1.30	15,968	30.00	.95
Under 1.85	26,257	32.00	.99
1.85 and over	61,996	50.00	1.41
Income unknown	19,267	40.00	1.24
Area of residence:4			
Inside metropolitan area	89,470	44.00	1.32
In principal cities ⁵	29,511	45.00	1.32
Not in principal cities	44,291	45.00	1.35
Outside metropolitan area	18,050	37.50	1.10
Census geographic region:			
Northeast	19,483	43.75	1.32
Midwest	24,674	40.00	1.18
South	39,633	41.67	1.28
West	23,730	45.00	1.36

¹Totals exclude households that did not answer the questions about spending on food. These represented 7.3 percent of all households.

Source: Calculated by ERS using data from the December 2006 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement.

²Households with children in complex living arrangements, e.g., children of other relatives or unrelated roommate or boarder.

³Hispanics may be of any race.

⁴Metropolitan area residence is based on 2003 Office of Management and Budget delineation. Food spending statistics by area of residence are comparable with those for 2004 and 2005, but are not precisely comparable with those of earlier years.

⁵Households within incorporated areas of the largest cities in each metropolitan area. Residence inside or outside of principal cities is not identified for about 17 percent of households in metropolitan statistical areas.

lowest in the Midwest (1.18 times the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan) and highest in the Northeast (1.32) and West (1.36).

Food Expenditures and Household Food Security

Food-secure households typically spent more on food than food-insecure households. Median food spending relative to the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan was 1.32 among food-secure households, compared with 1.01 among food-insecure households (table 9). Thus, the typical food-secure household spent 31 percent more for food than the typical household of the same size and composition that was food insecure.

The relationship between food expenditures and food security was consistent across household structure, race/ethnicity, income, metropolitan residence, and geographic region (table 10). For every household type, median food spending relative to the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan was higher for food-secure than food-insecure households. This was true even for households within the same income category. For example, among households with incomes below the poverty line, median food spending relative to the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan was 0.90 for food-insecure households compared with 0.95 for food-secure households. Typically, food-secure households with incomes above 130 percent of the poverty line spent more on food than the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan.

Although the *relationship* between food expenditures and food security was consistent, the *levels* of food expenditure varied substantially across household types, even within the same food security status. For food-insecure households, food expenditures of typical households in most categories were close to the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan, but there were some notable exceptions. Individuals living alone—especially men living alone—spent substantially more on food than the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan for their age and gender. Food-insecure households with incomes above 185 percent of the poverty line also registered median food expenditures substantially higher than the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan.²²

Table 9
Weekly household food spending per person and relative to the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) by food security status, 2006

	_	Median weekly food spending	
Category	Number of households ¹	Per person	Relative to cost of TFP
	1,000	Dollars	Ratio
All households	107,520	41.67	1.28
Food security status:			
Food-secure households	95,300	45.00	1.32
Food-insecure households	12,017	32.00	1.01
Households with low food security	7,629	32.00	1.02
Households with very low food security	4,388	31.50	.96

¹Total for all households excludes households that did not answer the questions about spending on food. These represented 7.3 percent of all households. Totals in the bottom section also exclude households that did not answer any of the questions in the food security scale.

Source: Calculated by ERS using data from the December 2006 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement.

²²ERS analysis has found that the experiences of food insecurity of higher and middle-income households are, disproportionately, occasional and of short duration (Nord et al., 2000). Their food expenditures during those foodinsecure periods may have been lower than the amount they reported as their "usual" weekly spending for food.

Table 10
Weekly household food spending relative to the cost of the Thrifty
Food Plan (TFP) by food security status and selected household
characteristics, 2006

	Median weekly food spending relative to TFP ¹		
Category	Food secure	Food insecure	
	R	Ratio	
All households	1.32	1.01	
Household composition:			
With children < 18	1.17	.95	
At least one child < 6	1.15	.98	
Married couple families	1.19	.96	
Female head, no spouse	1.09	.93	
Male head, no spouse	1.13	.95	
Other household with child ²	1.02	NA	
With no children < 18	1.38	1.07	
More than one adult	1.38	1.01	
Women living alone	1.36	1.09	
Men living alone	1.59	1.20	
With elderly	1.19	.90	
Elderly living alone	1.22	1.09	
Race/ethnicity of households: White non-Hispanic Black non-Hispanic Hispanic ³ Other	1.36 1.10 1.15 1.22	1.04 .95 .99 .95	
Household income-to-poverty ratio:			
Under 1.00	.95	.90	
Under 1.30	.97	.89	
Under 1.85	1.02	.93	
1.85 and over	1.44	1.20	
Income unknown	1.27	.96	
Area of residence: ⁴			
Inside metropolitan area	1.36	1.02	
In principal cities ⁵	1.36	1.01	
Not in principal cities	1.37	1.04	
Outside metropolitan area	1.11	.96	
Census geographic region:			
Northeast	1.34	1.05	
Midwest	1.21	.95	
South	1.32	1.01	
West	1.38	1.01	

¹Statistics exclude households that did not answer the questions about spending on food and those that did not provide valid responses to any of the questions on food security. These represented 7.5 percent of all households.

NA=Median not reported; fewer than 100 interviewed households in the category.

Source: Calculated by ERS using data from the December 2006 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement.

²Households with children in complex living arrangements, e.g., children of other relatives or unrelated roommate or boarder.

³Hispanics may be of any race.

⁴Metropolitan area residence is based on 2003 Office of Management and Budget delineation. Food spending statistics by area of residence are comparable with those for 2004 and 2005 but are not precisely comparable with those of earlier years.

⁵Households within incorporated areas of the largest cities in each metropolitan area. Residence inside or outside of principal cities is not identified for about 17 percent of households in metropolitan statistical areas.