Appendix A—Household Responses to Questions in the Food Security Scale

The 18 questions used for the food security measure ask about conditions, experiences, and behaviors that range widely in severity. Those indicating less severe food insecurity are observed with greater frequency, and frequency declines as severity increases. For example, the condition described by the least severe question, *We worried whether our food would run out before we got money to buy more*, was reported by 15.1 percent of households in 2006 (table A-1). *Adults cutting the size of meals or skipping meals because there wasn't enough money for food* was reported by 6.3 percent of households. The most severe item, *children not eating for a whole day because there wasn't enough money for food*, was reported by 0.1 percent of households with children. (See box on page 3 for the complete wording of these questions.)

The two least severe questions refer to uncertainty about having enough food and the experience of running out of food. The remaining 16 items indicate reduced quality, variety, or desirability of diets and increasingly severe disruptions of normal eating patterns and reductions in food intake. Three or more affirmative responses are required for a household to be classified as food insecure. Thus, all households in that category affirmed at least one item indicating reduced diet quality or disruption of normal eating patterns or reduction in food intake, and most food-insecure households reported multiple indicators of these conditions (table A-2).

A large majority of households (74 percent of households with children and 85 percent of those without children) reported no problems or concerns in meeting their food needs. Households that reported only one or two indications of food insecurity (10 percent of households with children and 6 percent of households without children) are also classified as food secure. Most of these households affirmed one or both of the first two items, indicating uncertainty about having enough food or about exhausting their food supply, but did not indicate actual disruptions of normal eating patterns or reductions in food intake. Although these households are classified as food secure, the food security of some of them may have been tenuous at times, especially in the sense that they lacked "assured ability to acquire acceptable foods in socially acceptable ways," a condition that the Life Sciences Research Office includes in its definition of food insecurity (Anderson, 1990, p. 1,598). Research examining health and children's development in these marginally food-secure households is ongoing. Findings to date indicate that outcomes are either intermediate between those in highly foodsecure and food-insecure households or more closely resemble those in food-insecure households (Radimer and Nord, 2005; Winicki and Jemison, 2003; Wilde and Peterman, 2006).

Table A-1 Responses to items in the food security scale, 2003-2006¹

Scale item ²	Households affirming item ³					
	2003	2004	2005	2006		
		Percent				
Household items:						
Worried food would run out before (I/we) got money to buy more	15.7	16.6	15.6	15.1		
Food bought didn't last and (I/we) didn't have money to get more	12.3	13.1	12.2	12.1		
Couldn't afford to eat balanced meals	10.8	11.6	10.7	10.9		
Adult items:						
Adult(s) cut size of meals or skipped meals	6.2	6.6	6.2	6.3		
Respondent ate less than felt he/she should	5.9	6.3	6.5	6.3		
Adult(s) cut size or skipped meals in 3 or more months	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.5		
Respondent hungry but didn't eat because couldn't afford	2.7	3.1	2.9	3.5		
Respondent lost weight	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.1		
Adult(s) did not eat for whole day	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4		
Adult(s) did not eat for whole day in 3 or more months	.9	1.0	.9	.9		
Child items:						
Relied on few kinds of low-cost food to feed child(ren)	16.1	17.1	14.7	15.0		
Couldn't feed child(ren) balanced meals	8.9	9.8	8.5	8.7		
Child(ren) were not eating enough	4.7	4.6	3.7	3.9		
Cut size of child(ren)'s meals	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.2		
Child(ren) were hungry	.7	1.0	.8	.8		
Child(ren) skipped meals	.4	.6	.6	.5		
Child(ren) skipped meals in 3 or more months	.3	.4	.4	.4		
Child(ren) did not eat for whole day	.1	.1	.1	.1		

¹Survey responses weighted to population totals.

Source: Calculated by ERS using data from the December 2003, December 2004, December 2005, and December 2006 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplements.

Frequency of Occurrence of Behaviors, Experiences, and Conditions That Indicate Food Insecurity

Most of the questions used to calculate the food security scale also elicit information about how often the food-insecure behavior, experience, or condition occurred. The food security scale does not take all of this frequency-of-occurrence information into account, but analysis of these responses can provide insight into the frequency and duration of food insecurity. Frequency-of-occurrence information is collected in the CPS Food Security Supplements using two different methods (see box, "Questions Used To Assess the Food Security of Households in the CPS Food Security Survey," on page 3):

- **Method 1:** A condition is described, and the respondent is asked whether this was often, sometimes, or never true for his or her household during the past 12 months.
- Method 2: Respondents who answer "yes" to a yes/no question are asked, "How often did this happen—almost every month, some months but not every month, or in only 1 or 2 months?"

²The actual wording of each item includes explicit reference to resource limitation, e.g., "...because (I was/we were) running out of money to buy food," or "...because there wasn't enough money for food."

³Households not responding to item are omited from the calculations. Households without children are omitted from the calculation of child-referenced items

Table A-2

Percentage of households by food security raw score, 2006

Panel A: Households with children							
Raw score (number of food-insecure conditions reported)	Percent of households ¹	Cumulative percent of households ¹	Food security status				
0	73.95	73.95	Food secure				
1	6.00	79.94	(84.39 percent)				
2	4.45	84.39	(04.00 percent)				
3	3.51	87.89					
4	2.66	90.56	Low food security				
5	2.13	92.69	(11.36 percent)				
6	1.74	94.43	(1100 porosin)				
7	1.32	95.75					
8	1.17	96.92					
9	.99	97.90					
10	.75	98.65					
11	.41	99.07					
12	.35	99.42	Very low food security				
13	.29	99.71	(4.25 percent)				
14	.12	99.82	(- 1 ,				
15	.06	99.89					
16	.03	99.92					
17	.07	99.99					
18	.01	100.00					
	Panel B: Househ	olds with no childre	n				
Raw score		Cumulative					
(number of food-insecure	Percent of	percent of					
conditions reported)	households1	households1	Food security status				

Panel B: Households with no children						
Raw score (number of food-insecure conditions reported)	Percent of households ¹	Cumulative percent of households ¹	Food security status			
0 1 2	85.13 3.76 2.59	85.13 88.89 91.48	Food secure (91.48 percent)			
3 4 5	2.40 1.25 1.01	93.88 95.13 96.14	Low food security (4.66 percent)			
6 7 8 9	1.12 1.06 .74 .40	97.26 98.32 99.07 99.47	Very low food security (3.86 percent)			
10	.53	100.00				

¹Survey responses weighted to population totals.

Source: Calculated by ERS using data from the December 2006 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement.

Table A-3 presents responses to each food security question broken down by reported frequency of occurrence for all households interviewed in the December 2006 survey. Questions using method 1 are presented in the top panel of the table and those using method 2 are presented in the bottom panel. Most households that responded affirmatively to method 1 questions reported that the behavior, experience, or condition occurred "sometimes," while 16 to 26 percent (depending on the specific question), reported that it occurred "often." For example, 3.6 percent of households reported that in the past 12 months they had often worried whether their food would run out before they got money to buy more, and 11.5 percent reported that this had occurred sometimes (but not often). Thus, a total of 15.1 percent of households reported that this had occurred at some time during the past 12 months,

Table A-3
Frequency of occurrence of behaviors, experiences, and conditions indicating food insecurity reported by all U.S. households, 2006¹

			Frequency of occurrence					
	I	Ever during			•			
Condition ²		the year	Often	Sometime	es Of	ten So	metimes	
		Perce	rcent of all households —— — Per			– Percent	ercent of —	
					"eve	"ever during the year"		
Worried food would run out before (I/we) got money to buy	more	15.1	3.6	11.5	2	4	76	
Food bought didn't last and (I/we) didn't have money to get	more	12.1	2.4	9.6	2	0	80	
Couldn't afford to eat balanced meals		10.9	2.8	8.1	2	6	74	
Relied on few kinds of low-cost food to feed child(ren)		15.0	3.5	11.6	2	3	77	
Couldn't feed child(ren) balanced meals		8.7	1.4	7.2	1	7	83	
Child(ren) were not eating enough		3.9	.6	3.3	1	6	84	
			Frequency of occurrence					
			Some	-		Some		
			months			months		
	Ever	Almost	but not	In only	Almost	but not	In only	
	during	every	every	1 or 2	every	every	1 or 2	
Condition ²	the year	month	month	months	month	month	months	
	Pe	Percent of all households			Percent of			
					"ever	during the	e year"	
Adult(s) cut size of meals or skipped meals	6.3	2.0	2.5	1.8	32	39	28	
Respondent ate less than felt he/she should	6.3	1.8	2.7	1.8	29	43	28	
Respondent hungry but didn't eat because couldn't afford	3.5	1.2	1.4	.9	34	41	25	
Respondent lost weight	2.1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Adult(s) did not eat for whole day	1.4	.5	.5	.4	34	35	31	
Cut size of child(ren)'s meals	1.2	.2	.6	.4	21	49	30	
Child(ren) were hungry	8.0	.2	.4	.2	22	53	25	
Child(ren) skipped meals	0.5	.1	.2	.2	19	46	34	

¹Survey responses weighted to population totals. Households not responding to an item or not responding to the followup question about frequency of occurrence are omitted from the calculation of percentages for that item. Households without children are omitted from the calculation of percentages for child-referenced items.

0.1

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

Child(ren) did not eat for whole day

Source: Calculated by ERS using data from the December 2006 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement.

and, of those, 24 percent reported that it had occurred often. (Note that calculations across some rows in table A-3 differ from tabled values because of rounding in each column.)

In response to method 2 questions, 19 to 34 percent of households that responded "yes" to the base question reported that the behavior, experience, or condition occurred "in almost every month;" 35 to 53 percent reported that it occurred in "some months, but not every month;" and 25 to 34 percent reported that it occurred "in only 1 or 2 months." For example, 6.3 percent of households reported that an adult cut the size of a meal or skipped a meal because there was not enough money for food. In response to the followup question asking how often this happened, 2.0 percent said that it happened in almost every month (i.e., 32 percent of those who responded "yes" to the base question), 2.5 percent said it happened in some months but not every month (39 percent of those who responded "yes" to the base question), and 1.8 percent said it happened in only 1 or 2 months (28 percent of those who responded "yes" to the base question).

²The actual wording of each item includes explicit reference to resource limitation, e.g., "...because (I was/we were) running out of money to buy food," or "...because there wasn't enough money for food."

NA = Frequency of occurrence information was not collected for these conditions.

Table A-4 presents the same frequency-of-occurrence response statistics for households classified as having very low food security. Almost all of these households responded affirmatively (either "often" or "sometimes") to the first four questions—questions that are sensitive to less severe aspects of food insecurity—and 41 to 49 percent of those who responded affirmatively reported that these conditions had occurred often during the past year. In response to method 2 questions, 36 to 44 percent of households that affirmed adult-referenced questions and 20 to 23 percent of households that affirmed child-referenced questions reported that the conditions had occurred in "almost every month."

Table A-4

Frequency of occurrence of behaviors, experiences, and conditions indicating food insecurity reported by households with very low food security, 2006¹

			Frequency of occurrence					
Condition ²		Ever during the year	Often	Sometin	nes Of	ten Sc	metimes	
		—— Percent of all households —— — Perce			– Percen	t of —		
					"eve	er during	the year"	
Worried food would run out before (I/we) got money to buy	more	97.5	47.9	49.6	4	.9	51	
Food bought didn't last and (I/we) didn't have money to get	more	96.4	39.8	56.7	4	.1	59	
Couldn't afford to eat balanced meals		94.5	41.3	53.2	4	4	56	
Relied on few kinds of low-cost food to feed child(ren)		96.0	40.6	55.4	4	2	58	
Couldn't feed child(ren) balanced meals		86.6	26.0	60.6		0	70	
Child(ren) were not eating enough		57.8	12.7	45.1	2	2	78	
			Fr	equency of	occurrer	ce		
			Some			Some		
			months			months		
	Ever	Almost	but not	In only	Almost	but not	,	
O 1111 2	during	every	every	1 or 2	every	every	1 or 2	
Condition ²	the year	month	month	months	month	month	months	
	—— P	Percent of all households ——				—— Percent of —— "ever during the year"		
Adult(s) cut size of meals or skipped meals	95.4	41.6	43.2	10.7	44	45	11	
Respondent ate less than felt he/she should	94.6	39.6	42.8	12.2	42	45	13	
Respondent hungry but didn't eat because couldn't afford	68.8	27.1	28.9	12.7	39	42	18	
Respondent lost weight	46.3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Adult(s) did not eat for whole day	32.6	11.6	12.0	9.0	36	37	28	
Cut size of child(ren)'s meals	24.2	5.3	12.5	6.4	22	52	26	
Child(ren) were hungry	17.2	4.0	9.4	3.8	23	55	22	
Child(ren) skipped meals	12.4	2.5	6.0	3.9	20	48	32	
Child(ren) did not eat for whole day	2.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	

¹Survey responses weighted to population totals. Households not responding to an item or not responding to the followup question about frequency of occurrence are omitted from the calculation of percentages for that item. Households without children are omitted from the calculation of percentages for child-referenced items.

Source: Calculated by ERS using data from the December 2006 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement.

²The actual wording of each item includes explicit reference to resource limitation, e.g., "...because (I was/we were) running out of money to buy food," or "...because there wasn't enough money for food."

NA = Frequency of occurrence information was not collected for these conditions.

Monthly and Daily Occurrence of Food-Insecure Conditions

Respondents also reported whether the behaviors and experiences that indicate food insecurity had occurred during the 30 days prior to the survey. (Responses to these questions are used to assess the food security status of households during the 30-day period prior to the survey. Statistics based on this measure are reported in appendix E.) For seven of these behaviors and experiences respondents also reported how many days the condition had occurred during that period. Responses to these questions are summarized in table A-5.

Most households that reported the occurrence of reduced food intake or being hungry during the 30 days prior to the survey, reported that these conditions were of relatively short duration, although some households reported longer or more frequent spells. For example, of the 4.1 percent of households in which adults cut the size of meals or skipped meals during the previous 30 days because there wasn't enough money for food, 63 percent reported that this had occurred in 1 to 7 days, 16 percent reported that it had occurred in 8-14 days, and 21 percent reported that it had occurred in 15 days or more

Table A-5

Monthly and daily occurrence of behaviors, experiences, and conditions indicating food insecurity reported by all U.S. households, 2006¹

		For house	at any time			
	Ever during previous 30		r of days out 30 days		Monthly average	Average daily
Condition ²	days	1- 7 days	8-14 days	15-30 days	occurrence	prevalence
		Percent ³			Days ³	Percent ³
Worried food would run out before (I/we) got money	,				•	
to buy more	6.79	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Food bought didn't last and (I/we) didn't have mone	у					
to get more	5.85	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Couldn't afford to eat balanced meals	6.10	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Relied on few kinds of low-cost food to feed child(re	n) 8.40	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Couldn't feed child(ren) balanced meals	5.06	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Child(ren) were not eating enough	2.26	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Adult(s) cut size of meals or skipped meals	4.10	63	16	21	8.6	1.17
Respondent ate less than felt he/she should	3.70	58	17	25	9.7	1.20
Respondent hungry but didn't eat because						
couldn't afford	2.17	60	16	24	9.1	.66
Respondent lost weight	1.31	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Adult(s) did not eat for whole day	.94	76	13	11	5.7	.18
Cut size of child(ren)'s meals	.69	72	12	16	7.2	.16
Child(ren) were hungry	.44	78	8	14	7.0	.10
Child(ren) skipped meals	.31	65	20	15	8.0	.08
Child(ren) did not eat for whole day	.07	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

¹Survey responses weighted to population totals. The 30-day and daily statistics refer to the 30-day period from mid-November to mid-December; the survey was conducted during the week of December 10-16, 2006.

Source: Calculated by ERS using data from the December 2006 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement.

²The actual wording of each item includes explicit reference to resource limitation, e.g., "...because (I was/we were) running out of money to buy food," or "...because there wasn't enough money for food."

³Households without children are excluded from the denominator of child-referenced items.

NA = Number of days of occurrence was not collected for these conditions.

of the previous 30 days. On average, households reporting occurrence of this condition at any time in the previous 30 days reported that it occurred in 8.6 days. The daily occurrence patterns were generally similar for all of the indicators of reduced food intake and disrupted eating patterns. Average days of occurrence (for those reporting occurrence at any time during the month) ranged from 5.7 days for *adult did not eat for whole day* to 9.7 days for *respondent ate less than he/she felt he/she should*.

Average daily prevalence of the various behaviors, experiences, and conditions characterizing very low food security was calculated based on the proportion of households reporting the condition at any time during the previous 30 days and the average number of days in which the condition occurred. These daily prevalence rates ranged from 1.20 percent for *respondent ate less than he/she felt he/she should* to 0.08 percent for *children skipped meals*.

No direct measure of the daily prevalence of very low food security has yet been developed. However, the ratio of daily prevalence to monthly and annual prevalence of the various indicator conditions provides a basis for approximating the average daily prevalence of very low food security during the reference 30-day period. For the adult-referenced items, daily prevalences ranged from 19 to 32 percent of their prevalence at any time during the month (analysis not shown, based on table A-5) and from 13 to 19 percent of their prevalence at any time during the year (analysis not shown, based on tables A-3 and A-5). The corresponding ranges for daily prevalences of the child-referenced items were 23 to 26 percent of monthly prevalence and 13 to 15 percent of annual prevalence. These findings are generally consistent with those of Nord et al. (2000), and are used to estimate upper and lower bounds of the daily prevalence of very low food security described in the first section of this report.

¹Average daily prevalence is calculated as the product of the 30-day prevalence and the average number of days divided by 30.