



www.ers.usda.gov

April 2001



## Compiled by Fred Kuchler

## **Abstract**

Because Federal agencies use different valuation methods to estimate the costs of illness, it is difficult to compare programs across agencies. If there were a consensus approach to assigning values to risk reductions and each agency used it, risk managers could more readily compare the different agencies' programs. Those comparisons would provide risk managers with information to help them choose food safety programs that reduce both foodborne illness and public costs. As a first step toward generating a consensus on the current state of knowledge and deciding on a common approach, several agencies planned this conference, held September 14-15, 2000, at the University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA. The outcome of the conference will serve as guidance for a consensus approach.

**Keywords:** Foodborne illness, risk, value of statistical life, valuing pain and suffering, valuation methods, pathogenic risks, willingness to pay

## Sponsored by:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Economic Research Service, USDA,

Food and Drug Administration

NE-165 Regional Research Project

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, USDHHS

The Joint Institute for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition



## Contents

	nter for Food Safety and Applied Nutritioniii
Ses	ssion I: Valuing Statistical Lives
	Moderators' Notes, Nathalie Simon and Nicole Owens, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
	"Using Estimates of the Value of Statistical Life in Evaluating Regulatory Effects,"  Donald Kenkel, Cornell University
	Comments, Chris Dockins, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
	Rapporteurs' Notes, Linda Chappell, Nicole Owens, Nathalie Simon, and David Widawsky, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Ses	ssion II: Valuing Pain and Suffering and Lost Productivity
	"Measuring the Pain, Suffering, and Functional Disability Associated with Foodborne Illness," Josephine Mauskopf and Roberta A. Morales, Research Triangle Institute
	Comments, Amber Jessup, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition
	Rapporteurs' Notes, Clark Nardinelli and Christina McLaughlin, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition
Ses	ssion III: Valuing Risk Reductions Using Different Valuation Methods
	"Valuing Pathogenic Risk: Methods, Skill, & Rationality,"  Jason Shogren, Tommy Stamland, Todd L. Cherry,  Thomas D. Crocker, University of Wyoming
	Comments, Fred Kuchler, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service
	Rapporteur's Notes, Jean C. Buzby, U.S. Department of Agriculture,  Economic Research Service
Ses	ssion IV: Valuing Risk Reductions for Different Hazards
	"Benefits Transfer and the Value of Food Safety," Alan Krupnick, Resources for the Future
	Rapporteur's Notes, Tammy Riggs, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services,  Centers for Disease Control and Prevention